On Multilateral Agreements And

Multidomain Applications

Reggie Cushing r.s.cushing@uva.nl 28/06/2021

Story so far...



		_						
f7F	GTXRfTI3	OAJUUUd	6Zumz1Ep	6h8rhO0pzv.	zwk2w=			
Id	Туре	SRC	DST	File	Hash	Auditor: OJjAx	Auditor: oD749	Auditor: eQUWx
1	transfer	JmyIf	twhgF	compute.sh	a9e37			
2	transfer	bktvQ	twhgF	stars.jpg	7fc9b			
					1.1			



Block Hash	Auditor:OJjAx	Block Details	Block Hash		Block Details	Block Hash	Auditor:eQUWx
d2d2c	+1-0	Details	b84cb	+1-0	Details	b3cbe	+1-0
b8d1d	+5-0	Details	be3c0	+5-0	Details	a7630	+5-0
5aa07	+11-1	Details	aee83	+10-1	Details	71fa2	+9-1
84434	+5-0	Details	e17fc	+5-0	Details	ea267	+5-0
82ef0	+5-0	Details	57f07	+5-0	Details	290fb	+5-0

- **Actors** = Containers
- Actors cryptographically addressed
- Multidomain communication through MQ using actor keys as topics.
- Auditor actors give permission to actors to carry out actions
- **Planner** actors encapsulate the notion of a workflow
 - Planners coordinate with auditors to execute workflow

Moving forward...multi-domain coordination

- A multidomain application is a workflow whereby the (data|control)flow crosses domain boundaries.
- Domain boundaries are controlled through rules/agreements derived from policies.
- A use case can be considered as having multiple facets.
 - The application functional components (functions)
 - The data assets
 - The coordination logic (controlflow)
- Controlflow is a program in itself that is *owned* by multiple domains.
- The challenge is:
 - *How to execute a control program owned by multiple domains?*

ArenA use-case multi-domain process model in Z Bizzdesign



ArenA use-case multi-domain process model in Z Bizdesign



Track, control, coordinate cross-border processes.
Traditionally a static layer using API keys etc.
In a marketplace we propose a programmable layer.
We need to capture and coordinate these set of rules in a transparent and secure way.
We propose state machines to

keep track of the state of the border.

- Each party/domain updates the state machine thus signaling the other parties to take action.





- **Generic** dataflow/petrinet executor running on a blockchain i.e. every peer is running the executor.

- Domains/actors are assigned a set of tokens.

- Actors define functions as a task with token input, token outputs and webhooks to interact with the outside world.

- So actors own tokens and tasks

- A task needs certain amount of tokens to **fire**

- Blockchain transactions copy tokens between actors.

- When a task has enough input tokens it will **fire** which in turn generates blockchain events.

- Containers monitor the ledger to trigger a process inside a container (the task).

- The container will make blockchain transactions to signal the task is completed and move the state machine.



- a petrinet that regulates how multi parties collaborate.

- the rationel is that a party can only perform a certain task given a certain context.

- Context is a set of multi domain preconditions that have to met.

- The movement of tokens within the graph changes the context.

- Tasks running outside the their agreed context are deemed as illegal.

- The approach should be generic enough that it can be applied to event driven scenarios.

- Petrinets have strong mathematical foundations.

- Can be analysed for behaviour and structure properties e.g. reachability, boundedness liveness, reversibility, coverability...



Beneath the blockchain buzz words; a computer scientist's view

- Is a distributed database.
- Instead of storing the DB data, store the transactions the made the data.
- Data 'asset|token' is cryptographically signed data struct by users 'owners'.
- Changing owner's signature of data is a 'transaction'.
- Users have pki keys. 'accounts|wallets'.
- Use a linked list to store the transactions 'blockchain'.
- Reference(hash) the previous list's recordset '**block'** in the new block.
- Multiple nodes need to agree on recordset order 'consensus'.
- Multiple nodes can rebuild the data from the linked list.
- Since multiple nodes can do *something* then they can also run scripts **'smart contracts'**.
- End result is a distributed network that can run deterministic scripts to manipulate a shared linked list where records are owned by different users.

Blockchain primitives

- Participants
 - Users with an x509 cert given by a CA peer on the network.
- Assets
 - User defined data structs owned by a participant.
 - **Cryptographically signed** data structs.
- Transactions
 - Move assets between participants
- Chaincode(smart contracts)
 - Javascrip/go/java programs to create programs with these primitives.
 - The chaincode runs on all/multiple peers of the network
 - Transactions are recorded in the DB(Ledger)
- The challenge:
 - How to map the controlflow program to a chaincode.
 - Make it generic.
 - How to interface actors to the chaincode (we want actors to affect state changes in the controlflow)

- A place receives is a placeholder for tokens.
- It is owned by a domain.
- Can be represented as an Asset.

- Tokens are passed between places.
- They are owned by domains.
- They are represented as assets.
- Tokens change ownership when moved between places.
- As is with web tokens, tokens also represent authorization. A function can only execute if it has to correct tokens from the different domains.



- They are represented as an asset.
- They are owned by domains.
- They map to container functions.
- A transition fire implies a container function execution.



- They indicate the required input tokens for a transition and the number of output tokens.
- A transition (container function) fires when the required input tokens are ready.

Petrinet life cycle

